

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,732	02/27/2001	Wilhelmus Gerardus Petrus Mooij	82032-0005	9900
8791	7590 06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			COLIN, CARL G	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X
41

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/763,732	MOOIJ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Carl Colin	2136	

	Carl Colin	2136					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>18 May 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comproclowing time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv 		e final rejection, whicheve	er is later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		\d					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	necause				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			0000000				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		jected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		1° 4 A 1 1	(DTOL 004)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	ent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has bee allowance because:	en considered but does NOT place	the application in cor	dition for				
see note below.	/DTO/00/00 DTO 4440\ D.	No/o)					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(F10/58/08 OF P10-1449) Paper	IVO(S)					
·							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that the only encrypted data Shear discloses is the associated digital signature, but does not disclose that the contents contain information required to decrypt the encrypted data. Examiner respectfully disagrees. According to the office action, Shear also suggests other attribute data containing information required to decrypt, for example in column 3, lines 28-35, Shear states that the load modules may contain algorithms, data, cryptographic keys, shared secret, and other information that permits it to interact with other system components, that meets the recitation of protocol information to establish communication between system components. Both the keys and the algorithm meet the recitation of secure device data and protocol information comprising information to decrypt. Shear also discloses different signatures according to different assurance levels as protocol information required for retrieving information to decrypt encrypted data (see column 20, lines 1-12). Applicant also argues that none of the references discloses "protected contents containing attribute data comprising information to find in the protected contents the appropriate protocol for communication between the content player and the secure device for retrieving the information to decrypt the encrypted data", which is discussed above in view of Shear. The only difference with the claimed invention is that in Shear's examples the key is not provided in the content because of security although it is suggested as discussed above that the load module may contain the keys. In addition, Santon discloses in column 3 as pointed in the office action and contrary to applicant's arguments, contents that contain encryption key and column 2, lines 17-31 recite encryption keys needed to decrypt are provided in the device. Santon discloses having key in the content itself and other identifiers associated with a particular device or group of device and specific content in order to control use of the encrypted content by any users; and further discloses a method to prevent the risk of unauthorized users from divulging the keys in the media by having an additional key, a "generic" key provided on each media reading device (see column 2). This is only a couple of the few advantages that Santon teaches as motivation to combine in column 2). For at least the reasons cited above and in the office action, the request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance.

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100